

Solvency capacity of gutta-percha and Resilon using chloroform, eucalyptol, orange oil or xylene

Capacidade de solvência da guta-percha e do Resilon utilizando clorofórmio, eucaliptol, óleo de laranja ou xilol

Gustavo A. Rubino¹, Eduardo Akisue², Bruna G. Nunes³, Giulio Gavini⁴

¹Post-graduation Program in Endodontics, School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, São Paulo-SP, Brazil; ²Dentistry School, University Santa Cecília, Santos-SP, Brazil; ³Post-graduation Program in Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University Santa Cecília, Santos-SP, Brazil; ⁴School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, São Paulo-SP, Brazil.

Abstract

Objective – The aim of the study was to evaluate the ability of 4 solvents (chloroform, eucalyptol, xylene and orange oil) to dissolve gutta-percha and Resilon under two experimental periods (5 and 15 minutes). **Methods** – Eighty pellets of gutta-percha and 80 pellets of Resilon were weighed with a precision balance before and after immersion in solvents during experimental periods of 5 and 15 minutes. The differences between the initial and final weights and resulting losses masses were tabulated. **Results** – The results showed that chloroform presented the greatest ability to dissolve gutta-percha and the polyester polymer Resilon ($p < 0.05$) in both experimental periods. Generally, other solvents currently used clinically (eucalyptol, xylene and orange oil) do not showed adequate ability to dissolve both materials. **Conclusion** – Independent of contact period, the most effective solvency for gutta-percha and Resilon in decreasing order was: chloroform, xylene, orange oil and eucalyptol.

Descriptors: Root canal therapy; Retreatment; Solvents; Gutta-percha; Methacrylates

Resumo

Objetivo – Avaliar a capacidade de 4 solventes (clorofórmio, eucaliptol, óleo de casca de laranja e xilol) na dissolução da guta-percha e do Resilon[®] em dois períodos experimentais (5 e 15 minutos). **Métodos** – Oitenta pellets de guta-percha e 80 pellets de Resilon[®] foram pesados com uma balança de precisão antes e após a imersão nos solventes pelos tempos experimentais. As diferenças entre os pesos iniciais e finais foram calculadas e o total de massa perdida foram tabulados. **Resultados** – Os resultados mostraram que o clorofórmio apresentou a maior capacidade de dissolver Resilon[®] ($p < 0,05$) em ambos os períodos experimentais. Sobre a solubilidade da guta-percha, foi observado comportamento semelhante para o clorofórmio, quando comparado aos demais solventes ($p < 0,05$), exceto quando comparado com xilol em 5 minutos. De modo geral, os outros solventes utilizados no estudo (xilol, eucaliptol e óleo de casca de laranja) não mostraram capacidade suficiente para dissolver ambos os materiais de forma adequada. **Conclusão** – Independente do período de contato, a efetividade na dissolução da guta-percha e Resilon[®] em ordem decrescente foi: clorofórmio, óleo de casca de laranja, eucaliptol e xilol.

Descritores:

Introdução

Although the success rate of the endodontic treatments reached levels of 86% to 93%¹, failures in most cases are associated with undesirable root canal system cleaning or filling that induce to the retreatment²⁻³. Usually retreatment is the first choice because this procedure allows a greater longevity of the dental element in the oral cavity³.

Gutta-percha associated with a sealer is the most commonly materials used in root canal fillings. It is a consensus that all filling material must be removed in the retreatment that provides adequate disinfection of the root canal system and favors conditions for new filling.

As alternative for root canal obturation, a new system-based on the adhesive principle has been recently introduced, different from the classic concept, is a dual curable dental composite resin sealer based in methacrylate monomers associated to a polyester polymer (Polycaprolactone – PCL) cone called Resilon.

Many solvents are used for gutta-percha removal. Since 1850, eucalyptol and chloroform are widely used as solvents⁴, however chloroform has shown better efficiency⁵⁻⁶. The chloroform is also indicate in retreatment of teeth ob-

turated with a new material based on polyester polymers called Resilon (Resilon LLC, Madison, CT, USA) which replaces the traditional gutta-percha.

Despite their excellent solvency, chloroform is described as a potential carcinogen by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services – Public Health Service⁷ and the IARC⁸ classified as a 2B group. Thus, other solvents had been used by the reason of their low cytotoxicity.

Eucalyptol and orange oil are the only gutta-percha solvents currently available for clinical use that no present potential carcinogenic according the Department of Health and Human Services⁷, but few studies evaluated ability of them to dissolve the polyester Resilon⁹⁻¹⁰.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the ability of 4 usual solvents to solve gutta-percha and Resilon materials.

Methods

Eighty Resilon pellets (Resilon LLC, Wallingford, CT) and 80 gutta-percha pellets (Obtura Corp., Fenton, MO) were individually weighed on a precision milligram balance PB153-S (Mettler-Toledo Inc., Columbus, OH) and the initial weights were tabulated.

For our experimental model, sixteen 100mm x 12mm glass petri dishes (Schott AG, Mainz, Germany) received each 10 pushpins (Prayon Metaloplástica Ltda, Blumenau, SC) that were fixed with cyanoacrylate glue (Henkel Ltda, São Paulo, SP) on their covers (Figure 1). After glue setting, pellets were inserted in each pushpin, totaling 8 dishes with 10 pellets of gutta-percha each and 8 dishes with 10 pellets of Resilon each.



Figure 1. Experimental model. Glass Petri dish with pellets inserted in each pushpin

The dishes were filled with 4 solvents more commonly used and commercially available: chloroform (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), eucalyptol (Biodinâmica Ltda, Ibipora, PR), orange oil (F&A Lab. Ltda, São Paulo, SP) and xylene (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Then, 8 groups (n=10) were immersed into the 4 solvents during 5 minutes and the others 8 groups were immersed during 15 minutes, both at $24 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

After each experimental time, the covers containing fixed pushpins with the materials were removed of solvents and immersed in absolute alcohol during 30 minutes and kept drying at room temperature for 24 hours in order to neutralize the solvent action.

The pellets were carefully removed from the pushpins after drying period and a final weighing was performed using the same balance of precision. The difference between the initial and final weights were tabulated and ana-

lyzed statistically using the 1way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test at the level of $\alpha=0.05$ to compare the weight loss after immersion in tested solutions.

Results

The results showed that chloroform was the solvent that showed the greatest ability of dissolve gutta-percha with statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) in both experimental periods. At 15 minutes, the lesser efficient solvent was eucalyptol and no difference was found between xylene and orange oil.

Regarding Resilon solubility, was observed a greater capacity of chloroform compared to other solvents for both time experimental periods ($p < 0.05$). Although xylene and eucalyptol present solvency for Resilon, there was no statistical difference when compared to the orange oil ($p > 0.05$).

Generally, chloroform was more effective on Resilon and gutta-percha dissolution regardless of experimental periods ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

The present results demonstrated that chloroform was an effective solvent for gutta-percha and polyester polymer Resilon.

To assess the solvency, some studies use the methodology of filling material immersion in solvents⁹⁻¹².

The use of Resilon or gutta-percha pellets enabled accurate weight measurements after immersion in the period of 5 minutes and 15 minutes. Drying process during 24 hours was suitable to the methodology because in previous pilot study an increase in weight after the immersion was detected in some samples. With this drying step no weight increase was found.

As the chloroform is an organic compound that has ability to dissolve a wide range of organic plastics (e.g. PET, perspex, PVC, polystyrene and PCL polyester) makes it a useful solvent to dissolve gutta-percha and Resilon during endodontic retreatment procedure.

Despite American Food and Drug Administration has determined that chloroform has the potential carcinogen and recommended the suspension of their use in humans, according previous studies, chloroform sho-

Table 1. Shows the mean weight loose (mg) suffered by gutta-percha pellets in function of contact periods

Time	Gutta-percha			
	Xylene	Eucalyptol	Orange oil	Chloroform
5 minutes	2,93 \pm 0,92 ^a	0,92 \pm 0,35 ^b	1,24 \pm 0,37 ^{a,b}	10,16 \pm 2,38 ^c
15 minutes	11,19 \pm 6,73 ^A	1,15 \pm 0,65 ^B	7,03 \pm 1,30 ^A	63,61 \pm 5,21 ^C

Means and standard deviations of gutta-percha's weight loss in function of the contact periods. Different letters (a, b, c, or A, B, C) indicate statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) and equal letters indicate no statistical difference ($p > 0.05$) using 1way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

Table 2. Shows the mean weight loose (mg) suffered by Resilon pellets in function of contact periods.

Time	Resilon			
	Xylene	Eucalyptol	Orange oil	Chloroform
5 minutes	2,42 \pm 0,71 ^a	1,95 \pm 0,29 ^a	2,01 \pm 0,21 ^a	81,85 \pm 6,48 ^b
15 minutes	3,21 \pm 0,12 ^A	2,18 \pm 0,34 ^A	2,26 \pm 0,37 ^A	209,5 \pm 6,34 ^B

Means and standard deviations of Resilon's weight loss in function of the contact periods. Different letters (a, b, c, or A, B, C) indicate statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) and equal letters indicate no statistical difference ($p > 0.05$) using 1way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

wed high solvency capacity of the endodontic filling materials^{6,11,13-19}. In addition to chloroform present to be carcinogenicity²⁰ and cytotoxic agent to the periapical tissue²⁰⁻²¹.

In this study results showed that chloroform was the solvent that showed the greatest ability of dissolve Resilon (Table 2) with statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) in both experimental periods and no difference was found between eucalyptol, orange oil and xylene ($p > 0.05$). As findings from Azar *et al.*¹⁹ (2011), Resilon showed higher solubility than gutta-percha in chloroform.

These results agree with studies by Hassanloo *et al.*¹⁸ (2007) and Kaplowitz¹³ (1990). Disagreement studies showed equivalence between all the same solvents used in our study¹¹ or that xylene or orange oil has better solvency capacity than the others^{12,22}.

Although the use of chloroform as a gutta-percha and Resilon's solvent can cause negligible risk to the patient²³⁻²⁴, your cytotoxicity characteristic leads to demand for alternative solvents.

Some other solvents have been recommended: orange oil^{10-11,22,25}, eucalyptol^{10-11,14}, halothane^{4,14,16} and xylene^{4,9,11-12,22}.

Xylene is an aromatic organic solvent that present solvency against hydrocarbons (e.g. gutta-percha) and poliurethanes (e.g. Resilon) probably due to destabilization of the covalent bonds between the carbon atoms⁹ but as chloroform, xylene showed cytotoxicity²⁶.

d-Limonene, is found widely in citrus and many other plant species and is a major constituent of many essential oils (e.g. orange oil) has been extensively studied especially their solvency capacity^{9-10,23,27-28}.

Eucalyptol and d-Limonene showed to be citotoxic^{21,29} but the orange oil that contains limonene is less cytotoxic when compared with eucalyptol and chloroform³⁰.

Analyzing solvency on gutta-percha (Table 1) at 5 minutes, our results demonstrated that eucalyptol and orange oil presented similar behavior. This is in agreement with previous studies⁹⁻¹² unlike Pecora *et al.*¹⁵ (1993) and Oyama *et al.*²² (2002) that showed better softening action of orange oil when compared with eucalyptol. Another finding is that no difference was found between xylene and orange oil ($p > 0.05$) that contrast with Magalhães *et al.*¹² (2007) and Tanomaru-Filho *et al.*⁹ (2010) that showed more dissolving efficacy of xylene than orange oil. Additionally, according others studies^{9,12} xylene was more effective than eucalyptol.

In our results in the period of 15 minutes, xylene and orange oil demonstrated better dissolution than eucalyptol without significant difference ($p > 0.05$) but this solvency capacity is lower than required by the chloroform. This finding disagrees with results obtained by Tanomaru-Filho *et al.*⁹ (2010) and Magalhães *et al.*¹² (2007) that showed equality between both solvents.

Against gutta-percha, chloroform was the solvent that showed the greatest ability of dissolve Resilon with statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) in both experimental times, agreeing with previous results^{3,18-19}.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of the current study, the findings indicated that chloroform was more effective on Resilon and gutta-percha dissolution ($p < 0.05$). The absence of an ideal balance between biocompatibility and solvency efficiency leads to more researches in order to achieved new solvents with the same solvency capacity of chloroform with less cytotoxic potential.

References

1. Ng YL, Mann V, Gulabivala K. Tooth survival following non-surgical root canal treatment: a systematic review of the literature. *Int Endod J.* 2010;43:171-89.
2. Nair PN. On the causes of persistent apical periodontitis: a review. *Int Endod J.* 2006;39:249-81.
3. Torabinejad M, Anderson P, Bader J, Brown LJ, Chen LH, Goodacre CJ *et al.* Outcomes of root canal treatment and restoration, implant-supported single crowns, fixed partial dentures, and extraction without replacement: a systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2007;98:285-311.
4. Wourms DJ, Campbell AD, Hicks MI, Pelleu GB. Alternative solvents to chloroform for gutta-percha removal. *J Endod.* 1990;16:224-6.
5. Tamse A, Unger U, Metzger Z, Rosenberg M. Gutta-percha solvents: a comparative study. *J Endod.* 1986;12:337-9.
6. Cunha RS, Martin AS, Barros PP, Silva FM, Jacinto RC, Bueno CES. *In vitro* evaluation of the cleansing working time and analysis of the amount of gutta-percha or resilon remnants in the root canal walls after instrumentation for endodontic retreatment. *J Endod.* 2007;33:1426-8.
7. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service (1985) Fourth Annual Report on Carcinogens, PB 85-134663.
8. World Health Organization. International Agency for Research of Cancer. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans. 1987;Suppl 7:152-4.
9. Tanomaru-Filho M, Orlando TA, Bortoluzzi EA, Silva GF, Tanomaru JMG. Solvent capacity of different substances on gutta-percha and Resilon. *Braz Dent J.* 2010;21:46-9.
10. Faria-Junior NB, Loiola LE, Guerreiro-Tanomaru, Berbert FLCV, Tanomaru-Filho M. Effectiveness of three solvents and two associations of solvents on gutta-percha and Resilon. *Braz Dent J* 2011; 22:41-4.
11. Hansen MG. Relative efficiency of solvents used in endodontics. *J Endod.* 1998;24:38-40.
12. Magalhães BS, Johann JE, Lund RG, Martos J, Del Pino FA. Dissolving efficacy of some organic solvents on gutta-percha. *Braz Oral Res.* 2007;21:303-7.
13. Kaplowitz GJ. Evaluation of gutta-percha solvents. *J Endod.* 1990;16:539-40.
14. Hunter KR, Dobleky W, Pelleu GB. Halothane and eucalyptol as alternatives to chloroform for softening gutta-percha. *J Endod.* 1991;17:310-2.
15. Pécora JD, Spanó JC, Barbin EL. *In vitro* study on the softening of gutta-percha cones in endodontic retreatment. *Braz Dent J.* 1993;4:43-7.
16. Wilcox LR. Endodontic retreatment with halothane versus chloroform solvent. *J Endod.* 1995;21:305-7.
17. Ezzie E, Fleury A, Solomon E, Spears R, He J. Efficacy of retreatment techniques for a resin based root canal obturation material. *J Endod.* 2006;32:341-4.

18. Hassanloo A, Watson P, Finer Y, Friedman S. Retreatment efficacy of the Epiphany soft resin obturation system. *Int Endod J*. 2007;40:633-43.
19. Azar M, Khojastehpour L, Iranpour N. A comparison of the effectiveness of chloroform in dissolving Resilon and gutta-percha. *J Dent (Tehran)*. 2011;8:19-24.
20. Golden RJ, Holm SE, Robinson DE, Julkunen PH, Reese EA. Chloroform mode of action: implications for cancer risk assessment. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1997;26:142-55.
21. Ribeiro AD, Matsumoto MA, Marques MEA, Salvadori DMF. Biocompatibility of gutta-percha solvents using *in vitro* mammalian test-system. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2007;103:e106-e109.
22. Oyama KO, Siqueira EL, Santos M. *In vitro* study of effect of solvent on root canal retreatment. *Braz Dent J*. 2002;13:208-11.
23. Schuur AH, Moorer WR, Wesselink PR. Solvents for the removal of gutta-percha from root canals. 2. Side effects of chloroform, halothane and xylene. *Ned Tijdschr Tandheelkd*. 2004;111:303-6.
24. Chutich MJ, Kaminski EJ, Miller DA, Lautenschlager EP. Risk assessment of the toxicity of solvents of gutta-percha used in endodontic retreatment. *J Endod*. 1998;24:213-6.
25. Imura N, Kato AS, Hata GI, Uemura M, Toda T, Weine F. A comparison of the relative efficacies of four hand and rotary instrumentation techniques during endodontic retreatment. *Int Endod J*. 2000;33:361-6.
26. Kandyala R, Raghavendra SP, Rajasekharan ST. Xylene: an overview of its health hazards and preventive measures. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol*. 2010;14(1):1-5.
27. Uemura M, Hata G, Toda T, Weine FS. Effectiveness of eucalyptol and d-Limonene as gutta-percha solvents. *J Endod*. 1997;23:739-41.
28. Martos J, Bassotto AP, González-Rodríguez MP, Ferrer-Luque CM. Dissolving efficacy of eucalyptus and orange oil, xylol and chloroform solvents on different root canal sealers. *Int Endod J*. 2011;44:1024-8.
29. Vajrabhaya LO, Suwannawong SK, Kamolroongwarakul R, Pewklieng L. Cytotoxicity evaluation of gutta-percha solvents: chloroform and GP-Solvent (limonene). *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2004;98:756-9.
30. Scelza MFZ, Oliveira LRL, Carvalho FB, Faria SCR. *In vitro* evaluation of macrophage viability after incubation in orange oil, eucalyptol, and chloroform. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006;102:e24-e27.

Corresponding author:

Eduardo Akisue
 Rua Cristiano Ribeiro da Luz Jr, 33 – Morumbi
 São Paulo-SP, CEP 05615-070
 Brazil

E-mail: eakisue@ajato.com.br

Received February 3, 2012

Accepted March 6, 2012